# **Measurements of the Liquid Viscosities of Mixtures of Isobutane with Squalane to 30 MPa**

**A. Kumagai · D. Tomida · C. Yokoyama**

Received: 11 October 2006 / Accepted: 15 August 2007 / Published online: 12 September 2007 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

**Abstract** The viscosities of liquid mixtures of isobutane with squalane, which seem to be representative of mixtures of refrigerants with refrigeration oil, were measured from 273.15 to 333.15 K at pressures to 30 MPa using a falling-body viscometer. The uncertainty of the measurements was estimated to be no larger than 2.9%. The experimental viscosity values were fitted with a Tait-like equation within 2.8%. There are large deviations between the experimental data and calculated values predicted by the equation of Kanti et al., which is derived from Flory's theory. By introducing two index numbers of the energetic mixing rule into the equation, the predictions could be improved considerably.

**Keywords** High pressure · Isobutane · Liquid mixture · Squalane · Viscosity

## **1 Introduction**

Accurate viscosity values for mixtures of refrigerants with lubricant oils are essential for the design of refrigeration systems based on refrigerants such as isobutane and *n*-butane. In previous papers [\[1](#page-8-0)[,2](#page-8-1)], we have reported viscosity data for mixtures of *n*-butane, *n*-hexane, and *n*-octane with squalane, which represented mixtures of refrigerants with refrigeration oil, using a capillary viscometer and a falling-body viscometer at temperatures from 273.15 to 333.15 K at pressures up to 30 MPa. The present paper extends the viscosity measurements to mixtures of isobutane with squalane.

Institute of Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

e-mail: chiaki@tagen.tohoku.ac.jp

A. Kumagai · D. Tomida · C. Yokoyama (⊠)

### **2 Experimental**

Isobutane was acquired from Seitetsukagaku Co. Ltd. with a minimum purity of 99.9 mass% and squalane from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co. Ltd. with a minimum purity of 98 mass%. These compounds were used without further purification.

The viscosity was measured with a falling-body viscometer, in which the viscometer is mounted in a pressure vessel with windows. The falling-body viscometer has been described elsewhere [\[2](#page-8-1)]. The choice of the sinker is determined by considering the magnitude of the viscosity of the measured sample.

The viscosity, η, was calculated from the fall time of the sinker, *t*, by the following equation:

$$
\eta = K \left( \rho_{\rm s} - \rho_{\rm l} \right) t \tag{1}
$$

where *K* is the viscometer constant, and  $\rho_s$  and  $\rho_l$  are densities of the sinker and sample liquid, respectively. The fall time was measured with a reproducibility of 0.8%. The density of the sample liquid was obtained by using a piezometer [\[2](#page-8-1)].

The temperature was regulated to within 30 mK, the pressure to within 0.2 MPa, and the mole fraction to within 0.001. The uncertainty in the measured viscosity is estimated to be no larger than 2.9%, and the density to be no larger than 0.4%.

# **3 Results**

The experimental viscosity data are given in Table [1](#page-2-0) together with values for density at the same temperatures and pressures, which are interpolated from the experimental density values. The present viscosity data for mixtures of isobutane with squalane at low pressures (∼2 MPa) agree with previous results [\[1](#page-8-0)] within 3.0% in spite of the different experimental techniques. The pressure dependences of viscosities for isobutane in the present work are similar to those presented by Sage et al. [\[3\]](#page-8-2), but are different from those presented by Gonzalez et al. [\[4](#page-8-3)] and Diller et al. [\[5](#page-8-4)] as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0)

Figure [2](#page-4-1) shows the typical composition dependence of the viscosity of mixtures of isobutane, together with *n*-butane, *n*-hexane, and *n*-octane, with squalane at 30 MPa and 273.15 K. The logarithmic viscosity isotherm of the mixtures at high pressures was convex upward, and the viscosity increased with increasing squalane mole fraction,  $x_1$ . The swell of the convexity for isobutane mixtures was more notable than other *n*-alkane mixtures in the squalane-rich region. Viscosity isotherms at other pressures showed similar behavior. At constant temperature and composition, the viscosity of mixtures increased monotonically with pressure with a small positive curvature, as shown in Fig. [3.](#page-5-0)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>The experimental viscosity data at high pressures were fitted with a Tait-like equation in terms of viscosity [\[6,](#page-8-5)[7\]](#page-8-6),

$$
\ln\left(\eta_{\rm p}/\eta_0\right) = E \ln\left[\left(D+P\right)/\left(D+P_0\right)\right] \tag{2}
$$

Temperature (K)	$x_1$	Pressure (MPa)	Density $(kg \cdot m^{-3})$	Viscosity (mPa · s)
273.15	0.000	$2.0$	586	0.197
		$10.0\,$	598	0.217
		20.0	609	0.241
		30.0	618	0.261
	0.169	2.0	714	1.69
		$10.0\,$	721	1.94
		20.0	728	2.22
		30.0	735	2.56
	0.288	2.0	751	4.22
		$10.0\,$	756	4.91
		20.0	763	5.87
		30.0	768	7.06
	0.513	$2.0$	788	21.6
		$10.0\,$	792	26.4
		20.0	798	33.2
		30.0	804	40.2
	0.777	2.0	809	75.8
		10.0	813	93.3
		20.0	818	119.2
		30.0	822	150.5
293.15	0.000	2.0	563	0.162
		$10.0\,$	576	0.179
		20.0	589	0.200
		30.0	601	0.219
	0.169	2.0	698	1.20
		$10.0\,$	706	1.34
		20.0	713	1.53
		30.0	721	1.74
	0.288	$2.0$	736	2.49
		10.0	744	2.88
		20.0	750	3.37
		30.0	755	3.92
	0.513	$2.0$	774	10.2
		$10.0\,$	780	11.9
		20.0	787	14.5
		30.0	790	17.5
	0.777	2.0	795	26.7
		$10.0\,$	801	31.3
		20.0	806	38.4
		30.0	810	48.6

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Viscosity and Density Data for Isobutane (2) + Squalane (1) Mixtures



### **Table 1** continued

where  $\eta_p$  and  $\eta_0$  are the viscosities at *P* and  $P_0$  (reference pressure), respectively, and *E* and *D* are adjustable parameters. Table [2](#page-6-0) shows the values of the parameters determined from the present data. The *E*values of mixtures were given by a linear function of the mole fraction of squalane, *x*1. The equation represents the present viscosity data with a maximum deviation of 2.7%. Solid lines in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) represent the values calculated from Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0).

The experimental viscosity values were next fitted with the model proposed by Kanti et al. [\[8\]](#page-8-7), which was derived from Bloomfield and Dewan [\[9](#page-8-8)] and from the Flory theory [\[10](#page-8-9)[–12](#page-8-10)]. The equation without an adjustable parameter proposed by Kanti et al. can be applied in the high-pressure region.  $\Delta^E \ln \eta$  is defined as:

$$
\Delta^{E} \ln \eta = \ln \eta - [x_1 \ln \eta_1 + (1 - x_1) \ln \eta_2]
$$
 (3)

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>

 $\mathcal{L}$  Springer

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

where  $\eta$  is the viscosity of the mixture,  $\eta_i$  is the viscosity of component *i*, and  $x_1$  is the mole fraction of component 1. Components 1 and 2 represent squalane and isobutane, respectively.

In the calculation of the viscosity from the predictive model of Kanti et al., we shall assume that the input parameters,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $\eta$  are only known for the pure components. The isothermal compressibility  $\beta$  can be calculated from the Tait equation,

$$
(\rho - \rho_0)/\rho = C \ln[(B + P)/(B + P_0)] \tag{4}
$$

$$
\beta = \rho / \rho_0 [C/(B+P)] \tag{5}
$$

where  $\rho$  and  $\rho_0$  are the densities at *P* and  $P_0$  (reference pressure), respectively, and *B* and *C* are adjustable parameters. The values of *B* and *C* were determined from the present experimental density data.

For calculation of the thermal expansion coefficient,  $\alpha$ , the following equation was used:

$$
\rho(T, P) = \rho(T_0, P) \left[ 1 + \kappa (T - T_0) \right] \tag{6}
$$

$$
\alpha = -\kappa / \left[1 + \kappa (T - T_0)\right] \tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>where  $\rho_{(T,P)}$  and  $\rho_{(T_0,P)}$  are the densities at  $(T, P)$  and  $(T_0, P)$  respectively, and  $T_0$  is 273.15 K.  $\kappa$  is the coefficient in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-5-1). The values of  $\kappa$  and  $\alpha$  were determined from the present experimental density data. The values for the parameters in the equation of Kanti et al. are listed in Table [3.](#page-7-0) The predicted viscosities showed large deviations from



<span id="page-6-0"></span>

the experimental data with a maximum deviation of 54.9% for mixtures of isobutane with squalane.

Bondi [\[13\]](#page-8-11). This equation was found to be very effective for  $\frac{1}{2}$  $(n$ -butane, *n*-hexane, and *n*-octane) with squalane. the composition dependence of the viscosity of the significantly different from that of the  $n$ -butane + squalane in the squalane in the squalance in the rich region. Since the Bondi method provides almost isobutane and *n*-butane, the energetic mixing rule with the interaction parameter can not represent correctly the experimental viscosity values of the isobutane + squalane

of temperature and molar volumes evaluated from

	$P$ (MPa)	273.15K	293.15K	313.15K	333.15K
		$\alpha$ (10 <sup>4</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )			
Isobutane	2.0	21.9	22.9	24.0	25.2
	10.0	18.4	19.1	19.9	20.7
	20.0	15.5	16.0	16.6	17.1
	30.0	13.8	14.1	14.6	15.0
Squalane	0.1	7.29	7.50	7.74	7.99
	10.0	7.18	7.38	7.62	7.86
	20.0	7.07	7.27	7.50	7.73
	30.0	6.97	7.17	7.38	7.59
		$\beta$ (10 <sup>9</sup> Pa <sup>-1</sup> )			
Isobutane	2.0	2.57	3.16	4.70	6.70
	10.0	2.18	2.58	3.53	4.58
	20.0	1.83	2.11	2.72	3.31
	30.0	1.58	1.79	2.22	2.61
Squalane	0.1	0.692	0.726	0.769	0.812
	10.0	0.658	0.689	0.727	0.766
	20.0	0.628	0.655	0.689	0.724
	30.0	0.600	0.624	0.656	0.689

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3** Physicochemical Parameters,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for Isobutane and Squalane in the Equation of Kanti et al. [\[8](#page-8-7)]

mixture. In this study, therefore, we tried to develop another mixing rule applicable to both the isobutane + squalane and *n*-alkane + squalane mixtures.

To improve the calculated results, an energetic mixing rule introducing two index numbers, *n* and *m*, was used as follows:

$$
U_m^* = x_1^n U_1^* + (1 - x_1)^m U_2^* \tag{8}
$$

<span id="page-7-1"></span>By analyzing experimental results for mixtures of isobutane, *n*-butane, *n*-hexane, and *n*-octane with squalane, it was found that *n* and *m* values may be expressed as

$$
n = 0.937 + 2.5 \times 10^{-4} T \tag{9}
$$

$$
m = 1.17 \left( T_c - 399.5 \right)^{-0.113} + 15 \times 10^{-3} T \tag{10}
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span>where  $T_c$  is the critical temperature, 408.1, 425.2, 507.9, and 569.9 K for isobutane, *n*-butane, *n*-hexane, and *n*-octane, respectively. The values of *n* and *m* were close to unity ( $n = 1.005$  to 1.020,  $m = 1.06$  to 1.42). It was possible to reproduce the experimental viscosity for mixtures of isobutane with squalane at 333.15 K, as shown in Fig. [4,](#page-8-12) where the solid lines represent the viscosity from the correlation obtained by Kanti et al. in conjunction with Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-7-1)–[\(10\)](#page-7-2).

<span id="page-8-12"></span>

The correlated viscosities by Kanti et al. with Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-7-1)–[\(10\)](#page-7-2) can approximate more closely the experimental data with maximum deviations of 24.2, 23.8, 23.8, and 20.6% and average deviations of 1.7, 3.9, 4.4, and 1.0% for mixtures of *n*-butane, isobutane, *n*-hexane, and *n*-octane with squalane, respectively.

## **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. A. Kumagai, S. Takahashi, Int. J. Thermophys. **16**, 773 (1995)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. A. Kumagai, D. Tomida, C. Yokoyama, Int. J. Thermophys. **27**, 376 (2006)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>3. B.H. Sage, W.D. Yale, W.N. Lacey, Ind. Eng. Chem. **31**, 223 (1939)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>4. M.H. Gonzalez, A.L. Lee, J. Chem. Eng. Data. **11**, 357 (1966)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>5. D.E. Diller, L.J. van Poolen, Int. J. Thermophys. **6**, 43 (1985)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>6. H. Kashiwagi, T. Makita, Int. J. Thermophys. **3**, 289 (1982)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>7. F.J. Vieira dos Santos, C.A. Nieto de Castro, Int. J. Thermophys. **18**, 367 (1997)
- 8. M. Kanti, B. Lagourette, J. Alliez, C. Boned, Fluid PhaseEquilib. **65**, 291 (1991)
- 9. V.A. Bloomfield, R.K. Dewan, J. Phys. Chem. **75**, 3113 (1971)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span><span id="page-8-8"></span><span id="page-8-7"></span>10. P.J. Flory, R.A. Orwoll, A. Vrij, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **86**, 3507 (1965); *ibid.*, 3515
- 11. P.J. Flory, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **87**, 1833 (1965)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>12. A. Abe, P.J. Flory, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **87**, 1838 (1965)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>13. A. Bondi, *Physical Properties of Molecular Crystals, Liquids, and Gases* (Wiley, New York, 1968), p. 450